	/					
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION, CRIMINAL PART					
2	PASSAIC COUNTY INDICTMENT NO. 14-02-0094-I					
3.	A.D. # <u>A-001915-15-T2</u>					
4	STATE OF NEW JERSEY,) TRANSCRIPT					
5), OF					
	vs.) TRIAL					
6	arcadio j. nova,					
7	Defendant.)					
8	Place: Passaic County Courthouse					
9	77 Hamilton Street Paterson, NJ 07505					
10	Date: July 27, 2015					
11	BEFORE:					
12	HONORABLE MARILYN C. CLARK, J.S.C.					
13	TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:					
14	DEBORAH C. COLLINS, ESQ. (First Assistant Deputy Public Defender)					
15	appearances:					
16	1					
17	KELLY WALSH, ESQ. (Assistant Prosecutor) Attorney for the State					
18	LEIGH GILSENAN SALTIEL, ESQ. (Assistant Deputy Public Defender)					
19	Attorney for the Defendant					
20						
21						
22	Transcriber, Eileen M. Zakrzewski					
23	KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES, LLC 3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203					
24	Riverdale, NJ 07457 Recording Operator: Alma Gomez					
25						

being the perpetrator of any crime that may have been
committed. Hence, the identity of the alleged
perpetrator is in dispute.

Now, our rules of evidence do permit evidence of other crimes, wrongs or acts when the evidence is used for a specific narrow purpose. In this case, you may consider this evidence solely as evidence pertaining to the identity of the alleged perpetrator. You may not use this evidence as evidence that Mr. Nova has a disposition or tendency to do wrong. You may only use this evidence when weighing the issue of identity.

Now, before you decide what, if any weight to give to this evidence, you must be satisfied that the pictures were extracted from a phone owned or used by Mr. Nova, and that he knew said photos were on the phone. If you are so satisfied then the weight to be given to the pictures as to the issue of identity is entirely up to you.

If you are not satisfied that the pictures were extracted from a phone owned or used by Mr. Nova and/or that he did not know that said pictures were on the phone, then the pictures should be given no weight.

Whether this evidence does in fact demonstrate evidence of identity in the case before you

7.X

(Jury exits the court room)

THE COURT: All right counsel, give the verdicts that have been entered, I'm going to revoke bail. I'm going to order an evaluation at the Adult Diagnostic and Treatment Center at Avenel and a presentence report. Sentence is scheduled for 9:00 o'clock on October 2, 2015. All right. Thank you, counsel.

MS. SALTIEL: Thank you.

(Proceeding concluded)

Certification

I, Eileen M. Zakrzewski, the assigned transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings on Compact Disc, from 9:11:11 a.m. to 10:01:09 a.m., 14 10:25:49 a.m. to 11:14:29 a.m., 11:19:00 a.m. to 15 12:17:29 p.m., 1:32:17 p.m. to 1:42:01 p.m. and 3:42:31 16 p.m. to 3:55:40 p.m., is prepared in full compliance 17 with the current Transcript Format for Judicial 18 Proceedings and is a true and accurate non-compressed 19 transcript of the proceedings as recorded. 20

/s/Eileen M. Zakrzewski June 2, 2015
Eileen M. Zakrzewski, AOC #529 (Date)

22

21

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

23 KING TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES, LLC
3 South Corporate Drive, Suite 203
24 Riverdale, NJ 07457
(973)237-6080

25